

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/056,335	01/23/2002	Ramez E.N. Shehada	18810.80300	2904
30623	7590 07/16/2003			
MINTZ, LEVIN, COHN, FERRIS, GLOVSKY			EXAMINER	
AND POPEO, P.C. ONE FINANCIAL CENTER BOSTON, MA 02111			GABOR, OTILIA	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2878	
			DATE MAIL ED: 07/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Annia antia			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/056,335	SHEHADA ET AL.			
omee Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication and	Otilia Gabor	2878			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 J	anuary 2002 .				
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
. 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-54</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-54</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 33 January 2003 is/arc; s) □ executed as b) ✓ chicated to by the Examiner.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 January 2002</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>6</u> .		nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/056,335

Art Unit: 2878

سره

Reissue Applications

- 1. The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to identify at least one error which is relied upon to support the reissue application. See 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1) and MPEP § 1414.
- 2. The declaration does not identify any specific error in the patent and thus it is insufficient to satisfy the requirement of the rule. The error in the declaration must specifically point to a Figure, state where the error is in the Figure and specify what the correction is to that Figure. When deleting old Figures (11-16) the declaration must say what new Figure corresponds to the old Figure and what exactly is being changed and why. If Applicant is conveying that the originally filed Figures included these changes but the patent did not, the Applicant must clearly state this error and provide a copy of what was originally filed and have at least one example of such an occurrence.

 NOTE: The reasons cited under Remarks would be sufficient as a specific error in the declaration.
- The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective (see 37 CFR1.175 and MPEP § 1414) because of the following:

The "new drawings" do not comply with 37 C.F.R. 1.173b(3): The Applicant attempts to replace the existing drawings with new drawings that have the same Figure numbers as the patent drawings. This is improper. Any new drawings must be added and inserted after the existing patent drawing Figures. Accordingly, the "new drawings" must start with a new Figure number (i.e., Figure 18).

NOTE: The old drawings 11-16 should be cancelled and the new drawing pages 18-23 should include the notation "NEW" on each page. Accordingly, the specification needs to be corrected to reflect these changes (i.e., where references refer to drawings 11-16 should now refer to drawings 18-23, etc.).

4. Claims 1-54 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

The nature of the defect(s) in the declaration is set forth in the discussion above in this Office action.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Otilia Gabor whose telephone number is 703-305-0384. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday between 8am-5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Porta can be reached on 703-308-4852. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7722 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Page 4

og May 15, 2003

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800